



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/539,391

06/15/2005

Johannes Friso Rendert Blacquiere

NL 021420

4254

24737

7590

01/22/2009

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

DARE, RYAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2186

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/539,391	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BLACQUIERE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RYAN DARE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2186	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

1. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yonemitsu et al., US Patent 5,734,787.
4. With respect to claim 1, Yonemitsu teaches a method for dividing user storage space of an optical disc, the method comprising the steps of: dividing the user storage space into one or more storage sections where a specific application is allowed to write and one or more sections where said application is not allowed to write; defining one or more availability parameter(s) which define(s) location and/or extent of at least one application-allowed storage section in the user storage space, in col. 11, lines 12-49.
5. With respect to claim 2, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein at least some of said one or more availability parameter(s) is(are) incorporated in a standard format for the application concerned, in col.12, lines 37-65.
6. With respect to claim 3, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein at least some of said one or more availability parameter(s) is(are) variable

Art Unit: 2186

parameters whose value(s) is(are) stored in a predetermined area or location of user storage space of the disc, in col. 11, lines 12-49

7. With respect to claim 4, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to any of claim 1, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines a borderline address between an application-allowed storage section and an application-forbidden storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

8. With respect to claim 5 Yonemitsu teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines an extremity address of an application-allowed storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

9. With respect to claim 6, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to any of claim 1i, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines a length of an application-allowed storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

10. With respect to claim 7, Yonemitsu teaches a user-writeable optical disc, the optical disc comprising: a user storage space divided into one or more storage sections where a specific application is allowed to write and one or more sections where said application is not allowed to write; and a predetermined area or location of storage space where one or more availability parameter(s) is(are) stored which define(s) location and/or extent of at least one application-allowed storage section in the user storage space, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

11. With respect to claim 8, Yonemitsu teaches the user-writeable optical disc according to claim 7, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines a

borderline address between an application-allowed storage section and an application-forbidden storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

12. With respect to claim 9, Yonemitsu teaches the user-writeable optical disc according to claim 7, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines an extremity address of an application-allowed storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

13. With respect to claim 10, Yonemitsu teaches the user-writeable optical disc according to claim 7, wherein at least one of said availability parameter(s) defines a length of an application-allowed storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

14. With respect to claim 11, Yonemitsu teaches the user-writeable optical disc according to claims 7, wherein the values of said parameters are stored as a table in a predetermined area or location of the user storage space of the disc, in col. 12, lines 37-65.

15. With respect to claim 12, Yonemitsu teaches the User-writeable optical disc according to claim 11, wherein said table contains at least one entry defining the length of the table, in col. 12, lines 37-65.

16. With respect to claim 13, Yonemitsu teaches a method of writing information to an optical disc comprising the steps of: determining the value of the availability parameter(s); determining at least one predefined application-allowed storage section of a user storage space on the basis of said availability parameter(s); consulting application-specific recording location information regarding location and extent of recorded areas; selecting, within said application-allowed storage section, free area suitable for accommodating the information to be written, taking into account said

recorded areas as determined by said application-specific recording location information; writing said information within said free area thus selected, in col. 11, lines 12-49 where the program area expands as tracks are sequentially recorded.

17. With respect to claim 14, Yonemitsu teaches the method of writing information to an optical disc according to claim 7, comprising the steps of: reading the availability parameter(s) from disc; determining at least one predefined application-allowed storage section in the user storage space on the basis of said availability parameter(s); consulting application-specific recording location information regarding location and extent of recorded areas in the user storage space; selecting, within said application-allowed storage section, free area suitable for accommodating the information to be written, taking into account said recorded areas as determined by said application-specific recording location information; writing said information within said free area thus selected, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

18. With respect to claim 15, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to claim 13, wherein writing to an address outside said application-allowed storage section is avoided, in col. 11, lines 12-49.

19. With respect to claim 16, Yonemitsu teaches the method according to claim 14, wherein, if it appears that the size of the free area is insufficient to accommodate the information to be written, the following steps are taken: determining whether the application-forbidden storage section outside said application-allowed storage section, either by itself or in combination with the free area already found, contains a storage space portion suitable and sufficient for accommodating the information to be written;

amending at least one of said availability parameter(s) such as to increase the size of said application-allowed storage section, in col. 11, lines 12-49 where the program area is expanded as the tracks are sequentially written.

20. With respect to claim 17, Yonemitsu teaches this, as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1.

### ***Response to Arguments***

21. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that the examiner acknowledged in the Final Office Action that the lead-in area is not a portion of the user storage space. The examiner disagrees. Applicant appears to be reading limitations into the claim in defining what a "user storage space" is. Col. 11, lines 28-45 describe the lead-in area where TOC data is stored. The lead-in and program areas together can be considered the user storage space of the present claim.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN DARE whose telephone number is (571)272-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matt Kim/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2186

/Ryan Dare/  
January 21, 2009